

LINEAR SUBSPACES

1. SUBSPACES OF \mathbb{R}^n

We wish to generalize the notion of lines and planes. To that end, say a subset $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a (*linear*) *subspace* if it has the following three properties:

- (1) (Non-empty): $\vec{0} \in W$;
- (2) (Closed under addition): $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in W \Rightarrow \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 \in W$;
- (3) (Closed under scaling): $\vec{v} \in W$ and $k \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow k\vec{v} \in W$.

EXAMPLE: $\{\vec{0}\}$ and \mathbb{R}^n are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n for any $n \geq 1$.

EXAMPLE: Line $\left\{ t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ given by $x_1 = x_2$ is a subspace.

NON-EXAMPLE: $W = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} : x \geq 0, y \geq 0 \right\}$. As $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in W$, but $-\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin W$.

EXAMPLE: If $T : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\ker(T)$ is a subspace and \mathbb{R}^m and $\text{Im}(T)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . For instance,

- (1) $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{0} \in \ker(T)$;
- (2) $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in \ker(T) \Rightarrow T(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = T(\vec{v}_1) + T(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 \in \ker(T)$;
- (3) $\vec{v} \in \ker(T), k \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow T(k\vec{v}) = kT(\vec{v}) = k\vec{0} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow k\vec{v} \in \ker(T)$.

2. SPAN OF VECTORS

Given a set of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the *span* of these vectors to be

$$W = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m) = \{c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m : c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

In other words, $\vec{w} \in W$ means \vec{w} is a linear combination of the \vec{v}_i . If

$$A = [\vec{v}_1 \mid \dots \mid \vec{v}_m]$$

is the $n \times m$ matrix with columns \vec{v}_i , then

$$\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m) = \text{Im}(A)$$

and so $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Thought of the other way around, the image of A is the span of its columns.

Given a subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we say a set of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *span* W if

$$W = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m).$$

EXAMPLE: $\text{span}(\vec{0}) = \{\vec{0}\}$.

EXAMPLE: If \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2 are standard vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 , then $\text{span}(\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2) = \mathbb{R}^2$.

EXAMPLE: $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \notin \text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$.

$$\text{rref} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & | & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next compute

$$\text{rref} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

As this is inconsistent, and so there is no way to write:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. LINEAR INDEPENDENCE

Many different sets of vectors may span the same subspace. For instance,

$$W = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2).$$

Indeed, $c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + c_3(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = (c_1 + c_3)\vec{v}_1 + (c_2 + c_3)\vec{v}_2$. In other words, $\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2$ is *redundant* and is not needed to describe the subspace W . To formalize this, define a *linear relation* among $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ to be an equation of the form

$$c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}.$$

There is always such a solution with $c_1 = \dots = c_m = 0$. A *non-trivial relation* is one in which at least one $c_i \neq 0$

EXAMPLE:

$$2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

is a non-trivial linear relation amongst three vectors and this can be rewritten

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

EXAMPLE: Suppose $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ admit a non-trivial relation

$$c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

with $c_m \neq 0$, then $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{m-1}) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$. That is the vector \vec{v}_m is redundant and can be omitted.

If

$$A = [\vec{v}_1 \quad \dots \quad \vec{v}_m]$$

is the matrix with columns the vectors \vec{v}_i , then a linear relation can be identified with a unique element of $\ker(A)$. Indeed,

$$c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0} \iff \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{bmatrix} \in \ker(A).$$

Furthermore, a non-trivial relation corresponds to a non-zero entry of $\ker(A)$.

We say $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ are *linearly independent* if they have no non-trivial relation, that is, if $\ker(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$. Put another way, $\ker(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$ if and only if the columns of A are linearly independent.

EXAMPLE: If $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ ($m \geq 2$) are linearly independent, then

$$\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{m-1}) \subsetneq \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m).$$

That is, there is no redundancy for linearly independent sets of vectors. More generally none of the vectors can be omitted without making the span smaller.

EXAMPLE: ($m = 1$) \vec{v}_1 is linear independent if and only if $\vec{v}_1 \neq \vec{0}$.

EXAMPLE: $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2$ are never linearly independent ($c_1 = c_2 = 1, c_3 = -1$ gives a non-trivial relation).

EXAMPLE: \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2 are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^2 . Indeed, if

$$c_1 \vec{e}_1 + c_2 \vec{e}_2 = \vec{0} \iff \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. That is, the only linear relation is the trivial one.

EXAMPLE: Suppose $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2)$, then $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ are not linearly independent. Indeed, $\vec{v}_3 = c_1(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) + c_2(\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2)$ which gives the non-trivial linear relation

$$-(c_1 + c_2)\vec{v}_1 - (c_1 - c_2)\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}.$$

EXAMPLE: Are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ linearly independent? First compute

$$\text{rref} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This means that the kernel of this matrix is

$$\text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

That is, there is have non-trivial linear relation

$$-3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

and so the vectors are not linearly independent.

EXAMPLE: Are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ linearly independent? Compute

$$\ker \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \{ \vec{0} \}$$

by showing that

$$\text{rref} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_3.$$

4. BASIS OF A SUBSPACE

A set of vectors which span a subspace W and which does not have any redundancies is clearly of particular interest. With this in mind for a subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we say a set $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$ is a *basis of W* if

- (1) $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ are linearly independent
- (2) $W = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$.

This ensures that not only do the vectors span the subspace but none of them can be omitted.

EXAMPLE: \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2 is a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . Indeed, any vector

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2$$

so the vectors span. They are linearly independent by inspection.

NON-EXAMPLE: $\vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2$ is not a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 as it does not span (but is linearly independent).

NON-EXAMPLE: $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2$ is not a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 as the set is not linearly independent (but does span).

EXAMPLE: The subspace $\{\vec{0}\}$ has no basis. Say its basis is the empty set, \emptyset .

EXAMPLE: Find basis of $\ker \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Observe this matrix is already in RREF so it is easy to determine elements in the kernel. Indeed, there are two free variables $f_1 = x_3$ and $f_2 = x_4$. Plugging in specific values for these variables (i.e., solving for the pivot variables) gives the following two elements in the kernel:

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (f_1 = x_3 = 1, f_2 = x_4 = 0) \text{ and } \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (f_1 = x_3 = 0, f_2 = x_4 = 1)$$

Clearly, if $f_1 = s$ and $f_2 = t$, then a general element of the kernel is of the form $\vec{z} = s\vec{v}_1 + t\vec{v}_2$. In other words, the kernel is spanned by \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 . Hence, we just need to check that \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 are linearly independent to see they are a basis. However,

$$\vec{0} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 - 2c_2 \\ -c_1 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, we must have $c_1 = 0$ (from the third entry) and $c_2 = 0$ (from the fourth entry). That is the only linear relation is the trivial one and so the vectors are linearly independent and so form a basis of the kernel.

This example can be generalized to give a procedure for finding the basis of $\ker(A)$ for any matrix A . A basic observation is that $\ker(A) = \ker(\text{rref}(A))$. This is because the linear system with augmented matrix $[A|\vec{0}]$ has the same solutions as that of $\text{rref}[A|\vec{0}] = [\text{rref}(A)|\vec{0}]$. With that in mind:

- (1) Compute $\text{rref}(A)$ and use $\text{rref}(A)$ to determine free variables.
- (2) Label the free variables as f_1, \dots, f_p .
- (3) Let \vec{v}_i be solutions corresponding to $f_i = 1$ and $f_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. That is, use $\text{rref}(A)$ to solve for the pivot variables in terms of the specified values of the free variables.

(4) Basis of $\ker(A)$ is $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p$.

In order to justify this first observe that $\ker(A) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p)$. As the element of $\ker(A)$ corresponding to $f_1 = t_1, \dots, f_p = t_p$ is $t_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + t_p\vec{v}_p \in \ker(A)$. Similarly, only \vec{v}_j has a non-zero entry at row corresponding to f_j , so easy to see $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ are linearly independent and hence form a basis.

EXAMPLE: Find a basis of $\text{Im}(A)$ for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [\vec{w}_1 \mid \vec{w}_2 \mid \vec{w}_3].$$

We compute

$$\text{rref}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$\ker(A) = \text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This means that there is a linear relation amongst the columns of A :

$$-\frac{1}{2}\vec{w}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\vec{w}_2 + \vec{w}_3 = -\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \iff \vec{w}_3 = \frac{1}{2}\vec{w}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\vec{w}_2.$$

This shows that $\vec{w}_3 \in \text{span}(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2)$. Furthermore, any linear relation

$$\vec{0} = c_1\vec{w}_1 + c_2\vec{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 + 3c_2 \\ -2c_2 \\ 2c_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies $0 = 2c_1 = -2c_2$ and so \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 are linearly independent and hence \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 form a basis of $\text{Im}(A)$.

This example can also be generalized to give a basis of $\text{Im}(A)$ when

$$A = [\vec{w}_1 \mid \dots \mid \vec{w}_n].$$

It is worth noting that, in general, $\text{Im}(A) \neq \text{Im}(\text{rref}(A))$. Nevertheless, $\text{rref}(A)$ can be used to find the basis. The procedure is as follows:

- (1) Compute $\text{rref}(A)$ and use this to find the pivot variables.
- (2) Let $\vec{y}_1 = \vec{w}_{i_1}, \dots, \vec{y}_q = \vec{w}_{i_q}$ be the columns of A that correspond to the pivot variables. Call these the *pivot columns of A* . That is, a pivot column of A is a one which corresponds to a column of $\text{rref}(A)$ that contains a pivot.
- (3) A basis of $\text{Im}(A)$ is $\vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_q$. That is, the pivot columns of A are a basis of $\text{Im}(A)$.

To understand why this is the case, we will use the vectors \vec{v}_i from before. Indeed,

$$A\vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$$

corresponds to a non-trivial linear relation between the non-pivot column corresponding to the i th free variable and all of the pivot columns. In other words, this non-pivot column lies in $\text{span}(\vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_q)$. As this holds for each non-pivot column,

$$\text{Im}(A) = \text{span}(\vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_q).$$

To see why the $\vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_q$ are linearly independent, we observe that any non-zero element of $\ker(A)$ must have a non-zero entry in one of the rows corresponding to free variable. This is because otherwise each free variable is 0 and so the corresponding element of $\ker(A)$ is $\vec{0}$. As any linear relation among $\vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_q$ can be thought of as an element of $\ker(A)$ whose entries in the rows corresponding to the free variables are 0, we see that there are no non-trivial relation among the pivot columns. That is, the pivot columns are linearly independent and so form a basis.

5. DIMENSION OF SUBSPACES

Fix a subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We pose two natural questions:

- (1) How many vectors are needed to span W ?
- (2) How many vectors in W can be linearly independent?

EXAMPLE: When $W = \mathbb{R}^n$, then need at least n vectors to span. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^n = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p) &\iff \text{Im} [\vec{v}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \vec{v}_p] = \mathbb{R}^n \\ &\iff \text{rank} [\vec{v}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \vec{v}_p] = n \Rightarrow p \geq n. \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE: When $W = \mathbb{R}^n$. If $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent, then $q \leq n$. Indeed, vectors linearly independent means

$$\ker [\vec{w}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \vec{w}_q] = \{\vec{0}\} \iff \text{rank} [\vec{w}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \vec{w}_q] = q \Rightarrow q \leq n.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Fix a subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $W = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p)$ and $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q \in W$ are linearly independent, then $p \geq q$.*

Proof. Let

$$A = [\vec{v}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \vec{v}_p] \text{ and } B = [\vec{w}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \vec{w}_q].$$

A is $n \times p$ and B is $n \times q$. Our hypotheses ensure $\text{Im}(A) = W$ and $\ker(B) = \{\vec{0}\}$. Clearly, $\vec{w}_i \in W = \text{Im}(A)$ so there are $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ so that $\vec{w}_i = Ay_i$. Let

$$C = [\vec{y}_1 \mid \cdots \mid \vec{y}_q]$$

be $p \times q$. We have $B = AC$. As $\ker(B) = \{\vec{0}\}$ and $\ker(B) = \ker(AC) \supset \ker(C)$, and so $\ker(C) = \{\vec{0}\}$. This means $\text{rank}(C) = q$ and so $p \geq q$ as claimed. \square

Corollary. *If $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ and $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q$ are both a basis of W , then $p = q$.*

Proof. $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p$ is linearly independent (spans) and $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q$ spans (is linearly independent), so $p \leq q$ ($q \leq p$). Both inequalities are true so $p = q$. \square

This means there is a well-defined notion of dimension of a subspace. Specifically, iff $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace, then the *dimension*, $\dim(W)$, of W is the number of elements in a basis of W . The corollary ensures this number does not depend on the choice of basis. Strictly speaking, for this definition to make sense for *every* subspace need to know it has a basis. You did this in your homework.

Using the Theorem we just proved we make the following observations for $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a subspace with $\dim(W) = m$:

- (1) One can find at most m linearly independent vectors in W .
- (2) Spanning W requires at least m vectors.
- (3) If m vectors in W are linearly independent, then they are a basis of W .

(4) If m vectors span W , then they are a basis of W .

EXAMPLE: $\dim(\{\vec{0}\}) = 0$ because $\{\vec{0}\}$ has basis the empty set.

EXAMPLE: For $\vec{v} \neq 0$, $\dim(\text{span}(\vec{v})) = 1$, i.e., a line has dimension 1.

EXAMPLE: $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ because \mathbb{R}^n has the *standard basis*, $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$.

EXAMPLE: $\dim(\text{Im}(A)) = \text{rank}(A)$, as pivot columns of A are a basis of $\text{Im}(A)$.

EXAMPLE: If $W \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$.

EXAMPLE: $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a subspace, then $\dim(W) \leq n$.

6. RANK-NULLITY THEOREM

We can relate the dimension of $\ker(A)$ and $\text{Im}(A)$ to the number of columns of A . This theorem is sometimes called the *fundamental theorem of linear algebra* due to its importance. With this in mind, call $\dim(\ker(A))$ the *nullity* of A and write $\text{null}(A) := \dim(\ker(A))$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let A be a $n \times m$ matrix, then,*

$$\dim(\ker(A)) + \dim(\text{Im}(A)) = \text{null}(A) + \text{rank}(A) = m.$$

Proof. As mentioned, $\text{rank}(A)$ is the number of pivot columns. Likewise, $\text{null}(A)$ is the number of non-pivot columns. This is because, each non-pivot column corresponds to a unique element of the basis of $\ker(A)$ constructed earlier. As each column of A is either a pivot column or a non-pivot column, the result follows. \square

EXAMPLE: Can a 3×3 matrix, A , have $\ker(A) = \text{Im}(A)$? The answer is no, as that would mean $\text{rank}(A) = \text{null}(A)$, but $3 = \text{rank}(A) + \text{null}(A)$ is not even.

EXAMPLE: Let A be a $n \times p$ matrix and B be a $p \times m$ matrix we have

$$\text{null}(AB) \geq \text{null}(B).$$

This is because $\ker(B) \subset \ker(AB)$.