

Some Linear Algebra

A linear system of equations looks like

$$\underbrace{\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n \end{cases}}_{n\text{-unknowns}}$$

We can write this as a single (matrix) eqn by collecting up the coefficient parts into arrays

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}}_{A_{n \times n}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{x}_{n \times 1}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{b}_{n \times 1}}$$

Here $b_2 = (\text{row 2 of } A) \cdot \vec{x}$ where the dot is matrix multiplication.

Some facts about matrices and matrix eqns.

II

- ① If $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, the eqn is called homogeneous.
- ② A solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is a choice of \vec{x} which satisfies the eqn.
- ③ If $\det A \neq 0$, the system has a unique soln.
- ④ If $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and $\det A \neq 0$, then $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is the only solution.

If $\det A = 0$, then tons of solutions

($A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ is never inconsistent) (why not?)

- ⑤ If $\det A \neq 0$, then the inverse matrix of A , A^{-1} , exists and can be used to "solve"

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b} : A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \Rightarrow \underbrace{A^{-1}} \cdot A\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

$$I_n \vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

Here

$$I_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Identity matrix}$$

n-dim

⑥ The idea of solving a system of eqns involves adding multiples of eqns to other eqns in order to produce new simpler eqns.

In matrices, these are the elementary row operations one performs to A to reduce the number of non-zero entries. But what one does to A , one must also do to \vec{b} .

Here to solve $A\vec{x}=\vec{b}$, one works with the augmentation matrix

$$A|\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} & | & b_1 \\ a_{21} & \dots & a_{2n} & | & b_2 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & | & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} & | & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

All relevant info. about $A\vec{x}=\vec{b}$ is encoded in $A|\vec{b}$.

⑦ All vectors, by convention, are considered IV
column vectors. To talk about a row vector,

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x}^T = [x_1 \dots x_n]$$

one should either specify "row vector", or
take the transpose of a column vector.

Def. A set of vectors $\vec{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \vec{x}^{(n)}$ (careful of
this notation) of the same size are said to
be linearly dependent (or each other) if \exists
real numbers $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$, not all 0, where

$$c_1 \vec{x}^{(1)} + \dots + c_n \vec{x}^{(n)} = 0$$

Otherwise they are linearly independent.

Note: No columns of $A_{n \times n}$ are linearly independent

$$166 \quad \det A \neq 0.$$

⑧ For $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, think of $\vec{x}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 where $\mathbb{R}^n =$ the set of all n -vectors.

Then an $n \times n$ matrix $A_{n \times n}$ can be considered
 a linear transformation of \mathbb{R}^n (a function
 taking \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n) taking \vec{x} to $\vec{b} = A\vec{x}$:

$$A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\vec{x} \xrightarrow{A} \vec{b} = A\vec{x}$$

A takes n -vectors to n -vectors, where \vec{b} is
 the image of \vec{x} under A .

ex. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Notice that in the last case,

the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is mapped to a multiple of itself.

This is special!

⑨ There is a special eqn in linear algebra: VI

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$$

A - $n \times n$ - matrix

\vec{x} - n -vector

λ - scalar

A choice of \vec{x} and λ which satisfy this equation indicate a direction (of \vec{x}) unchanged via multiplication by A , and expanded or contracted by a factor λ .

Here \vec{x} is called an eigenvector of A , and λ is its corresponding eigenvalue.

ex. In the above example, the vector $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with corresponding eigenvalue 3.

② Any multiple of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is also an eigenvector of A corresponding to 3.

① There is another eigenvalue of A: (-2).
How to find these?

The equation $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ has lots of solutions
(n+1 unknowns and only n equations).

But the values of λ are rare. To find them
rewrite $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$:

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$$

$$A\vec{x} - \lambda\vec{x} = \vec{0} \quad \text{place everything on one side}$$

$$A\vec{x} - \lambda I_n \vec{x} = \vec{0} \quad \text{make coefficient of } \vec{x} \\ \text{a matrix}$$

$$(A - \lambda I_n) \vec{x} = \vec{0} \quad \text{create a homogeneous system}$$

The only way non-trivial solutions exist is if
 $\det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$. But this equation only
has λ in it!!

ex. ~~$\det(A - \lambda I_2)$~~ = Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\det(A - \lambda I_2) = 0 = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det\begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 6 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ = (1-\lambda)(-\lambda) - 6 = 0 = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 6 = (\lambda-3)(\lambda+2)$$

Has eigenvalues at $\lambda=3, \lambda=-2$.