

HW 3

10.5

2a. Give all the maps names:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & B & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & C & \xrightarrow{\pi_3} & D \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow \\
 A' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & B' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & C' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_3} & D'
 \end{array}$$

Suppose $s \in C$ s.t. $\gamma(s) = 0$.

Then $\sigma_3(\gamma(s)) = 0$, so $\delta(\pi_3(s)) = \sigma_3(\gamma(s)) = 0$.

Since δ is injective, $\pi_3(s) = 0$.

By exactness, $\exists t \in B$ s.t. $\pi_2(t) = s$.

$\sigma_2(\beta(t)) = \gamma(\pi_2(t)) = \gamma(s) = 0$, so $\beta(t) \in \text{Ker } \sigma_2$.

By exactness, $\exists u' \in A'$ s.t. $\sigma_1(u') = \beta(t)$.

α is surjective so $\exists u \in A$ s.t. $\alpha(u) = u'$.

~~$\sigma_1(\alpha(u)) = \beta(t)$~~ $\beta(\pi_1(u)) = \sigma_1(\alpha(u)) = \beta(t)$, so $\pi_1(u) = t$, since β is injective.

Thus $s = \pi_2(\pi_1(u))$. But by exactness, $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1 = 0$, so $s = 0$.

Therefore, γ is injective.

b. Let $b' \in B'$ and let $c' = \sigma_2(b')$.

Since γ is surjective $\exists c \in C$ s.t. $\gamma(c) = c'$.

$\sigma_3(c') = 0$ by exactness since $c' \in \text{Im } \sigma_2$.

Thus $\delta(\pi_3(c)) = \sigma_3(\gamma(c)) = \sigma_3(c') = 0$.

Since δ is injective, $\pi_3(c) = 0$.

By exactness, $\exists b \in B$ s.t. $\pi_2(b) = c$.

Let $b'' = \beta(b)$.

Then $\sigma_2(b'') = \sigma_2(\beta(b)) = \gamma(\pi_2(b)) = \gamma(c) = c'$.

Also, $\sigma_2(b') = c'$, so $\sigma_2(b' - b'') = 0$.

By exactness, $\exists a' \in A'$ s.t. $\sigma_1(a') = b' - b''$.

Since α is surjective, $\exists a \in A$ s.t. $\alpha(a) = a'$.

Let $s = \pi_1(a)$.

Then ~~β~~ $\beta(s) = \beta(\pi_1(a)) = \sigma_1(\alpha(a)) = \sigma_1(a') = b' - b''$.

So $\beta(b+s) = \beta(b) + \beta(s) = b'' + (b' - b'') = b'$.

Thus $b' \in \text{Im } \beta$, so β is surjective.

⑥ Use parts (3) of propositions 30 and 34. Every R -module is projective iff every short exact sequence of R -modules splits iff every R -module is injective.

(14) a. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(D, -)$ to $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \oplus N \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ gives the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(D, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(D, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(D, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(D, N) \rightarrow 0$.
Conversely, suppose $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \rightarrow 0$ is short exact and that $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(D, L) \xrightarrow{\psi'} \text{Hom}_R(D, M) \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \text{Hom}_R(D, N) \rightarrow 0$ is short exact for any R -module D . Then in particular, $\varphi': \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, N)$ is surjective. Then $\exists \sigma \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ s.t. $\varphi'(\sigma) = \mathbb{I}_N$. But $\varphi'(\sigma)$ by definition is just $\varphi \circ \sigma$, so σ gives a splitting for $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \rightarrow 0$.

b. " \Leftarrow " follows as in part a. Suppose conversely that $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, D) \xrightarrow{\psi'} \text{Hom}_R(M, D) \xrightarrow{\psi'} \text{Hom}_R(L, D) \rightarrow 0$ for all R -modules D . In particular, $\psi': \text{Hom}_R(M, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L, L)$ is surjective, so $\exists \sigma \in \text{Hom}_R(M, L)$ s.t. $\psi'(\sigma) = \mathbb{I}_L$. But $\psi'(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \psi$ so σ is a splitting for

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \rightarrow 0$$