

HW5

App II Sec. 2

① Define $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$. If $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Nor-N}}(G, H)$, define $\mathcal{G}(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathcal{G}G, \mathcal{G}H)$ by $(\mathcal{G}(f))(\bar{g}) = \overline{f(g)}$. Define a natural transformation η from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{G} by defining $\eta_G: FG \rightarrow \mathcal{G}G$ to be the quotient map for all G in Nor-N . Suppose

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FG & \xrightarrow{\eta_G} & \mathcal{G}G = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \mathcal{G}(f) = \bar{f} \\ FH & \xrightarrow{\eta_H} & \mathcal{G}H = H/\mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

$f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Nor-N}}(G, H)$. The diagram below is clearly commutative so η is a natural transformation,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G = FG & \xrightarrow{\eta_G} & \mathcal{G}G = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N} \\ f = F(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{G}(f) = \bar{f} \\ H = FH & \xrightarrow{\eta_H} & \mathcal{G}H = H/\mathcal{N} \end{array}$$

③ Let G be a group. The commutator subgroup G' has the following universal property; For any homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow A$ with A an abelian group, there is a unique homomorphism $g: G/G' \rightarrow A$ such that the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & G/G' \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow g \\ & & A \end{array}$$

Let F be the forgetful functor from Ab to Grp . In the notation of page 917, X is G , $U(X)$ is G/G' , and ι is the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/G'$. Our commutative diagram shows that $(G/G', \iota)$ is a universal arrow from G to F .

12.1

(2) a. Let's prove the equivalent statement in the parentheses, x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly independent by assumption. Let $y \in M$. By maximality of x_1, \dots, x_n , the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y\}$ is linearly dependent. Hence $\exists r, r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $ry = \sum r_i x_i$. Since the x_i are linearly independent we must have $r \neq 0$.

b. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a basis for N and let $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in M$. By part (a) $\exists r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}$ s.t. $r_i y_i \in N \forall i$. To show y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} are linearly dependent it's equivalent to show $r_1 y_1, \dots, r_{n+1} y_{n+1}$ are linearly dependent. So replacing y_i with $r_i y_i$, we may assume $y_i \in N \forall i$. Since N is free of rank n , the linear dependence of the y_i follows from Prop. 3.