

hw 4 17, 28, 39, 40, 42 ab, 53 (i), (ii), 59

[17]: Recall:

E^c Dense $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in [a, b], \forall \delta > 0, \exists b \in E^c$ s.t. $b \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$

Since E measurable, E^c measurable.

Since $m^*(E) = 0 = m^*(E \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta))$, by 16.16, we have

$$m^*(E^c \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta)) = m^*([a, b] \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta)) = 2\delta > 0.$$

Then $\forall x \in [a, b], \forall \delta > 0$, we can find $b \in E^c \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta)$.

Hence, E^c is dense.

[28] ① let C_i denote the i -th stage to the Cantor set

$$\Rightarrow m(C_i) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^i 2^{j-1} (1-\delta)^{3^{j-1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} (1-\delta) \sum_{n=1}^i \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n.$$

$$\Delta_\delta \subset C_i$$

$$\Rightarrow m(\Delta_\delta) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(C_i) = \delta.$$

② moreover, $m(\Delta_\delta^c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\delta) \frac{2^{n-1}}{3^n} = 1 - \delta$, since the removed intervals are disjoint.

$$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow m(\Delta_\delta) + m(\Delta_\delta^c) \geq m^*([0, 1]) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow m^*(\Delta_\delta) = \delta.$$

[39] Both A and B are measurable, then $A \setminus B$ is measurable

$$A = (A \setminus B) \cup B, \quad A \setminus B \cap B = \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow m(A) = m(A \setminus B) + m(B)$$

[40] Since A, B measurable, also A and $B \setminus A$ are disjoint, then

$$m(A \cup B) = m(A) + m(B \setminus A)$$

Furthermore, $m(B) = m(B \cap A^c) + m(A \cap B)$ since $B \cap A^c$ and $B \cap A$ are disjoint

$$\Rightarrow m(A \cup B) + m(A \cap B)$$

$$= m(A) + m(B \cap A^c) + m(A \cap B) = m(A) + m(B)$$

[42] (a) Defn $f(x) = m(E \cap (-\infty, x])$

Assume $y > x$. then

$$|m(E \cap (-\infty, y]) - m(E \cap (-\infty, x])| \leq m(E \cap (x, y]) \leq |x - y|$$

i.e. $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|$, f is Lipschitz cts.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = m(E) = 1$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 0.$$

By Intermediate Value theorem, $\exists x_0$ s.t. $f(x_0) = m(E \cap (-\infty, x_0]) = \frac{1}{2}$.

(b). \mathbb{Q} : all the rational numbers in \mathbb{R}

$$\text{Then } m(E) = m(E \cap \mathbb{Q}) + m(E \cap \mathbb{Q}^c) = 1$$

$$\text{Since } m(E \cap \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \Rightarrow m(E \cap \mathbb{Q}^c) = 1.$$

By inner regularity of Lebesgue measure, we can find a

closed set K s.t. $K \subset E \cap \mathbb{Q}^c$ and $m(K) > \frac{1}{2}$

Now Define $g(x) = m((-\infty, x] \cap K)$. Similarly we can show g is

$$\text{and } \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g(x) > \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} g(x) = 0.$$

Hence, there exist x_0 s.t. $g(x_0) = m((-\infty, x_0] \cap K) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Here $(-\infty, x_0] \cap K$ is closed and consist entirely of irrationals.

53 (i). Since each open set can be written as countable union of open intervals, then \mathcal{B} is generated by the open intervals set $\Rightarrow \mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{E}_1)$

$$(ii) \textcircled{1} (a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[a + \frac{(b-a)}{10^n}, b - \frac{(b-a)}{10^n} \right)$$

$$\text{Hence } \mathcal{E}_1 \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}_2) \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}_2)$$

$$\textcircled{2} [a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}_1) \Rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{E}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{E}_2)$

59. $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $A_t = \{B \subseteq \mathbb{R} : t+B \in \mathcal{B}\}$

(i) $\emptyset \in A_t$:

since $t+\emptyset = \emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$

(ii) $B \in A_t$, then $t+B \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow t+B^c = (t+B)^c \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow B^c \in A_t$

(iii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $B_n \in A_t$. then for $\forall n$, $t+B_n \in \mathcal{B}$

$\Rightarrow t + \bigcup_n B_n = \bigcup_n (t+B_n) \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \bigcup_n B_n \in A_t$.

(iv). for all open sets O , $t+O$ is open. then $t+O \in \mathcal{B}$.

$\Rightarrow O \in A_t \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \subseteq A_t$

\Rightarrow if E is a Borel set, then $E+x$ is a Borel set,

Similar proof of the case of " rE "