

# LANDAU NOTATION AND DERIVATIVE

## 1. LANDAU NOTATION

1.1. Assume  $P(x)$  a statement that depends on the variable  $x$ .

"As  $x \rightarrow x_0$ , eventually  $P(x)$ " means that there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  such that  $P(x)$  is true for every  $x \in V$ .

"As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , eventually  $P(n)$ " means that  $\exists N > 0$  such that for  $n > N$ ,  $P(n)$  is true.

Example:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$  is the statement that  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , eventually  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ .

In this case "eventually" means " $\exists \delta > 0$  (possibly depending on  $\epsilon$ , so we write  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$ ) such that for  $|x - x_0| < \delta(\epsilon)$ ."

1.2. Assume  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are two functions defined in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Moreover, let's assume that  $g(x)$  is reasonably simple, while  $f(x)$  is a function whose behavior (near  $x_0$ ) we want to understand in terms of that of  $g(x)$ .

1.3. **Definition.** "As  $x \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $f(x) = O(g(x))$ " means that there exists  $C > 0$  such that eventually  $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|$ , as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ .

Equivalent meaning: there exists an open neighborhood of  $x_0$  where  $f$  (in absolute value) is no bigger than  $g$ , up to a constant multiple.

Equivalent definition:  $\exists C > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|$  for  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ .

Note: this is almost the same as saying that the quotient  $f(x)/g(x)$  is eventually bounded as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ , the only problem with this definition being that  $g(x)$  might actually vanish at  $x_0$  (or in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ ), in which case the quotient  $f(x_0)/g(x_0)$  is not well-defined.

Remark: with this definition, if  $g(x_0) = 0$  and  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ , then  $f(x_0) = 0$ .

1.4. **Definition.** "As  $x \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $f(x) = o(g(x))$ " means  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , eventually  $|f(x)| \leq \epsilon \cdot |g(x)|$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ .

Equivalent meaning:  $f$  is smaller (in absolute value) than any given fraction of  $g$  in a small enough neighborhood of  $x_0$ .

Equivalent definition:  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq \epsilon \cdot |g(x)|$  for  $|x - x_0| < \delta(\epsilon)$ .

Note: this is almost the same as saying that  $f(x)/g(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ , the problem with this formulation being once more that  $g(x)$  might actually vanish at  $x_0$  (or in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ ).

Remark: assume  $g(x_0) = 0$  but  $g \neq 0$  on  $V - \{x_0\}$ , where  $V$  is some neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Then  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  if and only if  $f(x_0) = 0$  **and**  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$ .

1.5. **Definition.** "As  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $f(x) = O(g(x))$ " means that there exists  $C > 0$  and  $N > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|$  for all  $x > N$ .

1.6. **Definition.** "As  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $f(x) = o(g(x))$ " means that  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq \epsilon \cdot |g(x)|$  for  $x > N(\epsilon)$ .

### 1.7. Examples.

- $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$  if and only if  $f(x) = f(x_0) + o(1)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ .
- If  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ , then  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$
- $x - x_0 = o(1)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$
- $(x - x_0)^2 = o(x - x_0)$
- If  $k < N$ ,  $x^k = o(x^N)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$
- If  $k < N$ ,  $x^N = o(x^k)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$
- For any  $a > 0$ ,  $\ln x = o(x^a)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$
- $x = O(\sqrt{x})$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$
- $(x - x_0)^2 = o(x - x_0)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$
- $x - 1 = O((x - 1)^2)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$
- $\sin(x) = O(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\sin x \neq o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ .
- $\sin(x) = x - \frac{x^3}{6} + O(x^5)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$

## 2. DERIVATIVE

2.1. **Set-up.**  $f(x)$  is a real-valued function defined on some neighborhood of  $a$ .

2.2. **Definition.** We say that  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$  provided the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$$

exists (and is finite). If that is the case, we denote the limit by  $f'(a)$ .

Note: by definition, a necessary condition for  $f$  to be differentiable at  $a$  is that  $f$  is continuous at  $a$ .

2.3. If  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ , we can then re-write the limit as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a) - f'(a)(x - a)}{x - a} = 0$$

which is the same as

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + o(x - a)$$

2.4. **Proposition.** The following statements are true:

i)  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ .

ii) There exists a real number  $k > 0$  such that  $f(x) = f(a) + k(x - a) + o(x - a)$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ .

**Proof.**  $i \Rightarrow ii$ . Take  $k = f'(a)$ .

$ii \Rightarrow i$ . Assume  $f(x) = f(a) + k(x - a) + o(x - a)$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ . This simply means that  $f(x) - f(a) - k(x - a) = o(x - a)$ , which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a) - k(x - a)}{x - a} = 0$$

But we can re-write the limit as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left( \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} - k \right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} = k$$

Thus  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$  and  $f'(a) = k$ .

**Proposition.** If  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ , then  $f(x)$  is continuous at  $a$ .

First proof:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a, x \neq a} f(x) - f(a) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \cdot (x - a) = k \cdot 0 = 0$ .

Second proof:  $f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + o(x - a) = f(a) + O(x - a)$ , hence  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$ .

2.5. **Linear approximation.** Assume  $f$  is continuous at  $a$ . For an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $T_k(x) = f(a) + k(x - a)$ . Then  $T_k(x)$  is a linear function which takes the same value at  $a$  as  $f$ :  $T_k(a) = f(a)$  (draw the graph of  $T_k$ , a line). Since both  $T_k$  and  $f$  are continuous at  $a$ , we can write  $f(x) = T_k(x) + o(1)$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ . We might want to conclude that  $T_k$  provides a good enough approximation for  $f$  near  $a$  (after all, the difference  $f - T_k$  does go to 0 as  $x \rightarrow a$ ). However, if we draw the graphs of  $f$  and  $T_k$  (with  $k$  chosen "arbitrary") we see that aside from passing through the same point, the two curves don't have much else in common. So the question is: is there a certain  $k$  for which the difference  $f(x) - T_k(x)$  (as  $x \rightarrow a$ ) is even smaller than  $o(1)$ ?

The first natural "quantity" smaller than  $o(1)$  is  $O(x - a)$ , but that is not good enough (let's not wonder why). The next candidate would be  $o(x - a)$ . Does there exist a  $k$  such that the corresponding  $T_k$  approximates  $f$  to  $o(x - a)$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ ?

From the discussion of the previous section we see that the answer is yes, provided  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ . If such a  $k$  exists, then it is unique:  $k = f'(a)$ . Moreover, the graph of the corresponding  $T_k$  is the tangent line at  $a$  to the graph of  $f$ .

Remark: we now have the equation of the tangent line of a function. Being the graph of  $T_k$  with  $k = f'(a)$ , it has equation  $Y = f'(a)(X - a)$ .