

12. WEYL'S CHARACTER FORMULA

1. WEYL'S INTEGRATION FORMULA

1.1. **Set-up.** G is a compact, connected Lie group, T a fixed maximal torus. The Haar measures dg on G and dt on T are normalized so that $\text{vol}(G) = \int_G dg = 1$ and $\text{vol}(T) = \int_T dt = 1$. Also, the coset space G/T is endowed with a left-invariant (under the G -action) measure $d\mu_{G/T}$ and we normalized it so that $\text{vol}(G/T) = \int_{G/T} d\mu_{G/T} = 1$. Let $\Delta = e^\rho \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) = \sum_{w \in W} e^{w\rho}$ the denominator in Weyl's character formula. With the above normalizations we have the following

1.2. **Theorem.** Assume $f \in C(G)$ is a continuous function on G . Then

$$\int_G f(g)dg = \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{G/T} \int_T f(gtg^{-1})|\Delta(t)|^2 dt d\mu_{G/T}(gT)$$

We skip over the details of this proof. The formula is obtained by applying the change of variable formula to the map

$$\alpha : G/T \times T \rightarrow G, \quad \alpha(gT, h) = ghg^{-1}$$

The idea is that this function is surjective, since every element of G has a conjugate in T . Moreover (outside a negligible set) μ is a covering map whose fiber is $N_G(T)/T$. Part of the theory is to establish the bijection $N_G(T)/T \simeq W$. In the case of $SU(3)$ this is not hard to see since both can be identified with S_3 . Hence the size of (almost all) fibers of α is $|W|$, and this explains the factor $\frac{1}{|W|}$ on the right-hand side. Then the factor $|\Delta|^2$ is simply the Jacobian of μ .¹

1.3. **Corollary.** If $f \in C(G)_{class}$ is a class function, that is $f(xy x^{-1}) = f(x)$, $\forall x, y \in G$, then

$$\int_G f(g)dg = \frac{1}{|W|} \int_T f(t)|\Delta(t)|^2 dt$$

2. PROOF OF THE WEYL CHARACTER FORMULA

2.1. Assume now that $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ is an irreducible representation of highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. From the orthogonality of characters, we have

$$\int_G |\chi_\lambda(g)|^2 dg = 1$$

Since χ_λ is a class-function, the integration formula yields

$$\int_T |\chi_\lambda(t)\Delta(t)|^2 dt = |W|$$

2.2. We now analyze the integrand $\chi_\lambda \Delta$. First, note that since $W \simeq N_G(T)/T$, there exists $n \in N_G(T)$ such that $\pi(n) : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(w\lambda)$. Example: if $w = s_{12}$, then $n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ [homework]. In particular, $\dim V(\mu) = \dim V(w\mu)$. Hence, if $n_\mu = \dim V(\mu)$, then

$$\chi_\lambda|_T = \sum n_\mu e^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad n_{w\mu} = n_\mu, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{E}(\pi), \forall w \in W$$

On the other hand since Δ is W -skew symmetric it then $\chi_\lambda \Delta$ is W -skew symmetric. This means that if we write

$$\chi_\lambda \Delta = \left(\sum_\mu n_\mu e^\mu \right) \left(\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w\rho} \right) = \sum c(\beta) e^\beta$$

where $c(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the coefficients of the various $\beta = w\rho + \mu$ after we open the parenthesis, then

$$c(w\beta) = \epsilon(w)c(\beta), \quad \forall w \in W$$

Since $c(\lambda + \rho) = 1$, we have $c(w(\lambda + \rho)) = \epsilon(w)$, $\forall w \in W$.

¹The details of this computation are presented in Fulton and Harris, *Representation theory*, p. 443.

On the other hand, from Parseval identity on the torus

$$\int_T |\chi_\lambda \Delta|^2 = \sum_\beta |c(\beta)|^2$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} |W| &= \sum_\beta |c(\beta)|^2 = \sum_{w \in W} |c(w(\lambda + \rho))|^2 + \sum_{\beta \notin W \cdot (\lambda + \rho)} |c(\beta)|^2 \\ &= 1 + 1 + \dots + 1 \text{ (}|W| \text{ times)} + \sum_{\beta \notin W \cdot (\lambda + \rho)} |c(\beta)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $c(\beta) = 0$ when $\beta \notin W \cdot (\lambda + \rho)$ and $\chi_\lambda \Delta = \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}$.

3. DIMENSION FORMULA

3.1. Example: $SU(2)$. In the case $G = SU(2)$, the representation σ_m of highest weight $m \geq 0$ has trace

$$\chi_m \left(\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{e^{i(m+1)\theta} - e^{-i(m+1)\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}$$

Hence we can obtain a formula for $\dim \sigma_m$ using L'Hopital

$$\dim \sigma_m = \chi_m(I_2) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{i(m+1)\theta} - e^{-i(m+1)\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}} = m + 1$$

We will use this idea in a more general context to prove the following

3.2. Theorem. Assume π_λ is the representation of G of highest weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Then

$$\dim \pi_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{(\lambda + \rho, \alpha)}{(\rho, \alpha)}$$

Proof. Let $H_0 \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\mu(H_0) = (\mu, \rho)$, $\forall \mu \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$. Such a H_0 exists and is unique (since the inner product is definite). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \pi_\lambda &= \chi_\lambda(e) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \chi_\lambda(\exp(tH_0)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\rho + \lambda)(tH_0)}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (e^{\alpha/2(tH_0)} - e^{-\alpha/2(tH_0)})} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{t(w(\rho + \lambda), \rho)}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (e^{\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho)} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho)})} \end{aligned}$$

The numerator of this expression can be re-written (since W preserves the inner product) as

$$\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{t(w\rho, \rho + \lambda)} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (e^{\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho + \lambda)} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho + \lambda)})$$

by using the identity for Δ . Hence

$$\dim \pi_\lambda = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho + \lambda)} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho + \lambda)}}{e^{\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho)} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}(\alpha, \rho)}}$$

By L'Hopital, the term corresponding to $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ tends to $\frac{(\alpha, \lambda + \rho)}{(\alpha, \rho)}$. This finishes the proof.

3.3. Example: $SU(3)$. Here $\rho = l_1 - l_3$ and for $\lambda = ml_1 - nl_2$, we have

$$(\lambda, \alpha_{12}) = m, \quad (\rho, \alpha_{12}) = 1, \quad (\lambda, \rho_{23}) = n + 1, \quad (\rho, \alpha_{23}) = 1, \quad (\lambda, \rho_{13}) = m + n, \quad (\rho, \alpha_{13}) = 2$$

hence

$$\dim \sigma_{m,n} = \prod_{i < j} \frac{(\lambda + \rho, \alpha_{ij})}{(\rho, \alpha_{ij})} = \frac{(m+1)(n+1)(m+n+2)}{2}$$