

RIMS *Kokyuroku* 419

Topics in Homotopy Theory and Cohomology Theory

March, 1981

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences

Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

## Unstable Cohomology Operations

W. Stephen Wilson

Let  $E^*(-)$  be a multiplicative generalized cohomology theory. This is represented by a spectrum  $E$  which can be represented as an  $\Omega$ -spectrum

$$E_* = \{E_k\}_k, \quad \Omega E_{k+1} \simeq E_k.$$

Then we have

$$E^k X \simeq [X, E_k],$$

or

$$E^* X = [X, E_*].$$

We are interested in the unstable  $E^*(-)$  cohomology operations, or the natural transformations

$$E^k X \longrightarrow E^n X.$$

We have that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^k X & \xrightarrow{\text{n.t.}} & E^n X \\ \simeq \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \simeq \\ [X, E_k] & \xrightarrow{\text{n.t.}} & [X, E_n], \end{array}$$

and so the natural transformations are given by

$$[E_k, E_n] \simeq E^n E_k.$$

Consequently,  $E^* E_*$  is of interest. However, we will restrict our attention to additive operations, i.e. those  $r$  where

$$r(x+y) = r(x) + r(y).$$

To do this we will assume that

$$E^*(E_k \times E_k) \simeq E^*E_k \hat{\otimes} E^*E_k.$$

Then the additive operations are just the primitives:

$$r \in PE^*E_* \text{ if } r \rightarrow r \hat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \hat{\otimes} r.$$

We can rigorously make  $PE^*E_*$  into a ring such that for any space  $X$ ,  $E^*X$  is an "unstable  $E^*E$  module" over the ring  $PE^*E_*$ . The details will appear elsewhere but the concept is fairly clear. In the case of  $E^*X$  we have a map

$$PE^n_{E_k} \otimes E^k X \longrightarrow E^n X$$

with a number of obvious compatibility conditions; among them the commuting of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PE^i_{E_n} \otimes PE^n_{E_k} \otimes E^k X & \longrightarrow & PE^i_{E_n} \otimes E^n X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ PE^i_{E_k} \otimes E^k X & \longrightarrow & E^i X, \end{array}$$

where the "ring" structure on  $PE^*E_*$  is clearly going to be given by composition of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PE^i_{E_n} \otimes PE^n_{E_k} & \longrightarrow & PE^i_{E_k} \\ \frown & & \frown \\ [E_n, E_i] \otimes [E_k, E_n] & \longleftarrow & [E_k, E_i]. \end{array}$$

There is a map, cohomology suspension;

$$E^{k-n}_E \longrightarrow PE^k_{E_n}$$

from the stable operations to the unstable operations. This is just given by restricting a stable operation to classes of degree  $n$ . An example of the potential usefulness is the nondesuspension problem.

If  $X$  has a desuspension  $\Sigma^{-1}X$ , then by the suspension isomorphism and the fact that stable operations commute with suspension, we have a stable  $E^*E$  module structure on  $\tilde{E}^*(\Sigma^{-1}X)$ . However, if  $\Sigma^{-1}X$  exists, it must also have an unstable module structure compatible with the stable structure, i.e. we must be able to complete the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{k-n}_E \otimes \tilde{E}^n_{\Sigma^{-1}X} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}^k_{\Sigma^{-1}X} \\ \downarrow & & \nearrow \\ PE^k_{\underline{E}_n} \otimes \tilde{E}^n_{\Sigma^{-1}X} & & \end{array}$$

If this cannot be done, then  $\Sigma^{-1}X$  does not exist.

We have specific examples for  $E$  in mind. In particular we want  $E$  to give complex cobordism or Brown-Peterson cohomology. The definition above, however, works for standard mod  $(p)$  cohomology as well.

In particularly nice cases,

$$E^*E_k \simeq \text{hom}_{E_*}(E_*E_k, E_*)$$

and

$$PE^*E_k \simeq \text{hom}_{E_*}(QE_*E_k, E_*).$$

Both BP and MU satisfy this property. Much more can be said. Hence forth,

let  $E = \text{MU or BP.}$

In these cases

$$E_*(E_k \times E_k) \simeq E_*E_k \hat{\otimes}_{E_*} E_*E_k$$

and the diagonal map

$$\underline{E}_k \rightarrow \underline{E}_k \times \underline{E}_k$$

turns  $E_*E_k$  into a coalgebra.

Because  $\underline{E}_k$  is a homotopy commutative H-space,  $E_*\underline{E}_k$  is a commutative Hopf algebra, with conjugation, over  $E_*$ ; or, in other words, an abelian group object in the category of coalgebras over  $E_*$ . Even more structure exists; since  $E_*$  is a ring spectrum we have maps

$$\underline{E}_k \wedge \underline{E}_n \longrightarrow \underline{E}_{k+n}$$

giving us a product

$$\circ : E_*\underline{E}_k \otimes_{E_*} E_*\underline{E}_n \longrightarrow E_*\underline{E}_{k+n},$$

and turning  $E_*\underline{E}_* = \{E_*\underline{E}_k\}_k$  into a graded ring object over the category of coalgebras over  $E_*$ .

This goes as:  $E^*X$  is a graded ring, so  $\underline{E}_*$  is a graded ring object in the homotopy category, so  $E_*\underline{E}_*$  is a graded ring object in the category of  $E_*$ -coalgebras.

The distributivity in this "ring", known as a "Hopf ring", uses the coproduct: let

$$x \rightarrow \Sigma x' * x'',$$

then

$$x \circ (y * z) = \Sigma \pm (x' \circ y) * (x'' \circ z)$$

where  $*$  is the Hopf algebra product, or "addition" in our "ring".

$$E^*CP^\infty \simeq E^*[[x]] \quad \text{for } x \in E^2CP^\infty.$$

Dual to  $x^i$  we have  $\beta_i \in E_2CP^\infty$ .

We obtain a formal group law over  $E_*$  by applying  $E^*(-)$  to the usual map

$$CP^\infty \times CP^\infty \rightarrow CP^\infty.$$

Then

$$x \rightarrow \Sigma_{i,j} a_{ij} x_1^i \otimes x_2^j = F(x_1, x_2).$$

Define

$$x +_F y = F(x,y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i y^j.$$

We define a few elements in  $E_*E_*$ .

Using

$$x \in E^2 CP^\infty = [CP^\infty, E_2]$$

we define

$$b_i \equiv x_*(\beta_i) \in E_{2i}E_2.$$

Also for

$$a \in E^k = [pt., E_k]$$

we have

$$[a] \equiv a_*(1) \in E_{0-k}E_k.$$

we define

$$x +_{[F]} y = \sum_{i,j} [a_{ij}] \circ x^{\circ i} \circ y^{\circ j}.$$

In "The Hopf ring for complex cobordism", Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 1977, Ravenel and Wilson prove the following about MU and BP. Let  $b(s) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i s^i$ .

Theorem. In  $E_*E_*[[s,t]]$ ,  $E = MU$  or BP,

$$b(s +_F t) = b(s) +_{[F]} b(t).$$

The Hopf ring  $E_*E_*$  is generated over  $E_*$  by the  $b$ 's and  $[E^*]$ , and the only relations come from above. To obtain  $E_*E_*$  just add  $e_1 \in E_1E_1$  and  $e_1 \circ e_1 = b_1$ .  $\square$

These formulas, by duality, give all information about unstable MU and BP operations. However, there is another way to look at these unstable operations. For  $n > 0$  we have the rational isomorphisms

$$E^*E_Q \simeq PE^*E_{-n}Q.$$

Since there is no torsion anywhere we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{*+n}E \subset E^{*+n}E_Q & \simeq & \text{hom}_{E^*}(E_{*+n}E, E_{*Q}) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq \\ PE^*E_{-n} \subset PE^*E_{-n}Q & \simeq & \text{hom}_{E^*}(QE_{*+n}E, E_{*Q}) \end{array}$$

and we can represent an unstable operation by a rational stable operation, However, we have the following surprising result:

Theorem. For  $E = MU$  or  $BP$ , the coker in

$$0 \rightarrow E^{*-n}E \rightarrow PE^*E_{-n} \rightarrow \text{coker} \rightarrow 0$$

has no torsion.  $\square$

This may seem like a contradiction, but because of completion problems it is not. We have that

$$E^*E \simeq E^* \hat{\otimes} S$$

where  $S$  has only nonnegative degrees.  $E^*$  has only non positive degrees. When we say "rationally" we mean

$$E^*E_Q \simeq E_Q^* \hat{\otimes} S,$$

not tensor product with  $Q$ . In this completed tensor product, an element which is non trivial in the coker is an infinite sum

$$\sum a_i \hat{\otimes} s_i, \quad a_i \in E_Q^*, \quad s_i \in S,$$

with the denominators of the  $a_i$  going to infinity as  $i$  does.

A candidate for an unstable operation can be checked now. If we are given an element of  $E_*E_Q$  we can evaluate it in

$$\text{hom}_{E_*}(E_*E_n, E_*Q)$$

and if we find that all of our values are really in

$$E_* \subset E_*Q,$$

then we have a legitimate element of

$$PE_*E_n.$$

It is at this stage that the detailed knowledge of  $E_*E_*$  developed in "The Hopf ring for complex cobordism" is useful.

An example of an unstable operation found in this way is the Adams operation  $\psi^k$ . These have been studied by several authors rationally, however we can obtain the following by use of the above technique.

Theorem. For  $E = MU$  or  $BP$ , the rational operations  $k^i \psi^k$  actually lie in

$$PE_{2i}^{2i} \text{ and } PE_{2i+1}^{2i+1}, \text{ all } i. \quad \square$$

In order to prove this type of result, techniques for evaluating

$$E_*(r) : E_*E_k \rightarrow E_*E_n \text{ for } r : E_k \rightarrow E_n$$

are necessary.

The details of these techniques, the last two theorems, and the rigorous definition of general unstable operations will appear elsewhere.



This paper represents a portion of the lectures I gave at a conference at the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences at Kyoto University in October 1980. I would like to thank the participants and organizers for a most enjoyable conference.